

YOUR LETTERS

Dear sir,

My reason for writing is to find a method for getting the exact digits of π . Recently I have seen one method of getting it as

$$\pi = 4 \times (1 - 1/3 + 1/5 - 1/7 + 1/9 - \dots).$$

Another has been

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2} \times \text{the circumference of a circle of radius 1,}$$

which is not very helpful. The only other one I can find is an approximation by the perimeters of the inscribed and circumscribed regular polygons with n sides of a circle of radius 1:

n = 6	6.0	<	2π	<	6.8
n = 12	6.21	<	2π	<	6.43
n = 24	6.26	<	2π	<	6.32
n = 48	6.279	<	2π	<	6.292
n = 96	6.2821	<	2π	<	6.2854
n = 192	6.2829	<	2π	<	6.2837
n = 384	6.28311	<	2π	<	6.28333
n = 768	6.28317	<	2π	<	6.28322
n = 1536	6.28318	<	2π	<	6.283196
n = 3072	6.283184	<	2π	<	6.283188
n = 6144	6.283184	<	2π	<	6.283186

These are virtually the only solutions I can find, and would appreciate a bit of help.

Also, can you do a cheap cover for binding a few copies of Parabola?

Jimmy Pike

Editor's Reply:

With regard to your question on the value of π , you may be interested in some formulas used by various Mathematicians over the years:

- (1) Using the fact that π is the circumference of a circle of radius 1, Archimedes showed that π is between $22/7$ and $223/71$.
- (2) In the sixteenth century, Vieta produced "the first actual formula" (according to H.W. Turnbull):

$$2/\pi = \sqrt{1/2} \times \sqrt{(1/2 + 1/2\sqrt{1/2})} \times \sqrt{(1/2 + 1/2\sqrt{(1/2 + 1/2\sqrt{1/2})})} \times \dots$$

- (3) In the seventeenth century, an Englishman John Wallis showed that

$$\frac{2}{\pi} = \frac{3 \times 3 \times 5 \times 5 \times 7 \times 7 \dots}{2 \times 2 \times 4 \times 4 \times 6 \times 6 \dots}$$

and, using this result somehow, Lord Brouncker of Ireland gave the formula in Dr Loxton's article (Vol. 13 No. 1).

- (4) Meanwhile, about the same time, a Scotsman called James Gregory noticed that if you integrate the series

$$1/(1+x^2) = 1-x^2+x^4-x^6+\dots$$

you get

$$\theta = x-x^3/3+x^5/5-x^7/7+\dots$$

where $\tan \theta = x$. By substituting $\theta = \pi/4$, Leibniz saw that

$$\pi/4 = 1-1/3+1/5-1/7+\dots$$

since $\tan \pi/4 = 1$. This was such a major breakthrough that all subsequent evaluations of π have been based on it.

- (a) Since $\tan(\theta+\psi) = (\tan \theta + \tan \psi)/(1-\tan \theta \tan \psi)$, it is useful to find two angles θ and ψ with $\theta+\psi = \pi/4$ and use Gregory's formula twice, e.g. $\tan \theta = 1/2$, $\tan \psi = 1/3$ giving

$$\theta = 1/2-(1/2)^3/3+(1/2)^5/5-(1/2)^7/7+\dots$$

and a similar formula for ψ . Since $\tan(\theta+\psi) = (1/2+1/3)/(1-1/6) = 1$, $\pi/4 = \theta+\psi$, and the two values may be added.

- (b) In 1961, Wrench and Shanks used the fact that, if $\tan \alpha = 1/5$ and $\tan \beta = 1/239$, then $4\alpha-\beta = \pi/4$ to evaluate π to 100,000 decimal places on a computer (since then I understand that π has been found to 1 million decimal places by leaving a computer running all weekend!).

If any other readers would be interested in a cover in which to keep their Parabolas, please let me know.

