

## Roots and all: An economical algorithm

Farid Hagggar<sup>1</sup>

Every polynomial of degree  $n$  can be expressed as a product of factors,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + a_2x^{n-2} + \cdots + a_n \\ &= a(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the  $\alpha_i$ , which may be real or complex, are the roots of the polynomial, i.e.,  $p(\alpha_i) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It is natural to look for relationships between the roots of the polynomial  $\alpha_i$  and the coefficients  $a_i$ . Two well-known relations are

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = -\frac{a_1}{a_0}$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = (-1)^n \frac{a_n}{a_0}.$$

A polynomial with  $a_0 = 1$  is called a monic polynomial and in this case we can write

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \omega_i x^{n-i} \\ &= \omega_0 x^n - \omega_1 x^{n-1} + \omega_2 x^{n-2} - \cdots \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 &= 1, \\ \omega_1 &= \sum_j \alpha_j, \\ \omega_2 &= \sum_{j < k} \alpha_j \alpha_k, \\ \omega_3 &= \sum_{j < k < l} \alpha_j \alpha_k \alpha_l, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Dr Farid Hagggar is an Applied Mathematician with a PhD from Latrobe University in 2002.

It is possible to find identities relating the sums of the powers of the roots defined by

$$s_i = \sum_j (\alpha_j)^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

to the coefficients,  $\omega_j$ , of the monic polynomial, without actually finding the roots  $\alpha_k$  explicitly. These identities are known as Newton identities, or Newton–Girard formulae.

It is straightforward to find recursion relations linking  $\omega_i$  and  $s_i$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n p(\alpha_j) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \omega_i \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \omega_i s_{n-i} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $s_0 = n$ . We now separate off the  $i = 0$  contribution in the above sums and solve separately for  $s_n$  and  $\omega_n$  to arrive at

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \omega_i s_{n-i} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} s_i \omega_{n-i}. \tag{2}$$

We can now use the recursion relations to obtain  $s_i$  as functions of  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_i$ ; and  $\omega_i$  as functions of  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_i$ . The first few terms are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \omega_1 \\ s_2 &= \omega_1^2 - 2\omega_2 \\ s_3 &= \omega_1^3 - 3\omega_1\omega_2 + 3\omega_3 \\ s_4 &= \omega_1^4 - 4\omega_1^2\omega_2 + 4\omega_1\omega_3 + 2\omega_2^2 - 4\omega_4 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1 &= s_1 \\
\omega_2 &= \frac{s_1^2}{2} - \frac{s_2}{2} \\
\omega_3 &= \frac{s_1^3}{6} - \frac{s_1 s_2}{2} + \frac{s_3}{3} \\
\omega_4 &= \frac{s_1^4}{24} - \frac{s_1^2 s_2}{4} + \frac{s_1 s_3}{3} + \frac{s_2^2}{8} - \frac{s_4}{4} \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

By proceeding recursively in this fashion we can obtain  $\omega_i$  as functions of the  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_i$  for any finite  $i$ , but the process is laborious. We now describe a more economical way to obtain these expressions for  $\omega_i$ .

In general we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
s_n &= s_n(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{k^n} s_n(k\omega_1, k^2\omega_2, \dots, k^n\omega_n),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_n &= \omega_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{k^n} \omega_n(k s_1, k^2 s_2, \dots, k^n s_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k \neq 0$ . We show that the coefficients in  $s_n(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$  and  $\omega_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  can be determined by solving a system of linear equations as follows:

The selection  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 1$  is such that  $s_i = n, \omega_i = \binom{n}{i} i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned}
s_n \left( \binom{n}{1}, \binom{n}{2}, \dots, \binom{n}{n} \right) &= n, \\
\omega_n(n, n, \dots, n) &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the number of roots assigned have no bearing on the outcome (they are merely passengers), the equations above can be extended to the identities

$$s_n \left( \binom{j}{1}, \binom{j}{2}, \dots, \binom{j}{n} \right) \equiv j, \quad \forall j \tag{3}$$

$$\omega_n[j] := \omega_n(j, j, \dots, j) \equiv \binom{j}{n} \tag{4}$$

where  $\binom{j}{n} = 0$  if  $j < n$ .

For example,

$$\omega_3(s_1, s_2, s_3) = as_1^3 + bs_1s_2 + cs_3,$$

where  $a, b, c$  satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_3[1] &= a + b + c = \binom{1}{3} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}\omega_3[2] &= 4a + 2b + c = \frac{1}{2}\binom{2}{3} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{3}\omega_3[3] &= 9a + 3b + c = \frac{1}{3}\binom{3}{3} = \frac{1}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

The number of terms in  $s_n(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$  and  $\omega_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  is the partition function  $\Pi(n)$  of  $n$ , namely the number of integer solutions  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $x_k \geq 0$  of the equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^n kx_k = n.$$

An alternate algorithm to (2) for  $\omega_n$  follows:

Define  $\omega_n^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_n^{(1)} &= \omega_n(0, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_n) \\ \omega_n^{(2)} &= \omega_n(0, 0, s_3, \dots, s_n) \\ \omega_n^{(3)} &= \omega_n(0, 0, 0, s_4, \dots, s_n) \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

so that the number of terms in  $\omega_n^{(i)}$  is the  $i$ -th partition function  $\Pi^{(i)}(n)$  of  $n$ , namely the number of integer solutions  $(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $x_k \geq 0$  of

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^n kx_k = n$$

and it satisfies the difference equation

$$\Pi^{(i)}(n) - \Pi^{(i-1)}(n) = -\Pi^{(i-1)}(n-i), \quad \Pi^{(0)}(n) = \Pi(n) \quad (5)$$

leading to

$$\Pi^{(i)}(n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=i+1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \Pi^{(k-1)}(n-k) + 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq n-1, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  is the largest integer smaller or equal to  $\frac{n}{2}$ , since  $\Pi^{(k-1)}(n-k) = 0$  for  $k > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .

Moreover, the partial derivatives of  $\omega_n^{(i)}$  with respect to  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  satisfy the canonical relations

$$\frac{\partial \omega_n^{(i)}}{\partial s_k} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \omega_{n-k}^{(i)} & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} - 1 \rfloor, i+1 \leq k \leq n-i-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $\omega_n^{(0)} = \omega_n$ . Lastly, integrating (7) in accordance with (6) yields

$$\omega_n^{(i)} = \sum_{k=i+1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \int \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \omega_{n-k}^{(i-1)} \partial s_k + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} s_n, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (8)$$

where the sought after algorithm for  $\omega_n$  corresponds to  $i = 0$ .

Examples:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_4^{(1)} &= \int -\frac{1}{2} \omega_2^{(1)} \partial s_2 - \frac{s_4}{4} \\ \omega_2^{(1)} &= -\frac{s_2}{2} \therefore \int -\frac{1}{2} \omega_2^{(1)} \partial s_2 = \frac{s_2^2}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_5 &= \int \omega_4 \partial s_1 + \int -\frac{1}{2} \omega_3^{(1)} \partial s_2 + \frac{s_5}{5} \\ \int \omega_4 \partial s_1 &= \frac{s_1^5}{120} - \frac{s_1^3 s_2}{12} + \frac{s_1^2 s_3}{6} + \frac{s_1 s_2^2}{8} - \frac{s_1 s_4}{4} \\ \omega_3^{(1)} &= \frac{s_3}{3} \therefore \int -\frac{1}{2} \omega_3^{(1)} \partial s_2 = -\frac{s_2 s_3}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_6 &= \int \omega_5 \partial s_1 + \int -\frac{1}{2} \omega_4^{(1)} \partial s_2 + \int \frac{1}{3} \omega_3^{(2)} \partial s_3 - \frac{s_6}{6} \\ \int \omega_5 \partial s_1 &= \frac{s_1^6}{720} - \frac{s_1^4 s_2}{48} + \frac{s_1^3 s_3}{18} + \frac{s_1^2 s_2^2}{16} - \frac{s_1^2 s_4}{8} - \frac{s_1 s_2 s_3}{6} + \frac{s_1 s_5}{5} \\ \omega_4^{(1)} &= \frac{s_2^2}{8} - \frac{s_4}{4} \therefore \int -\frac{1}{2} \omega_4^{(1)} \partial s_2 = -\frac{s_2^3}{48} + \frac{s_2 s_4}{8} \\ \omega_3^{(2)} &= \frac{s_3}{3} \therefore \int \frac{1}{3} \omega_3^{(2)} \partial s_3 = \frac{s_3^2}{18}. \end{aligned}$$

The latter is less exhausting than (2) with  $n = 6$ , which carries a surplus of  $\sum_{i=0}^5 \Pi(i) - \Pi(6) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 5 + 7 - 11 = 8$  like terms to the ones allocated. This surplus grows exponentially with  $n$ : Possibly an incentive to go economically?